AKT PUBLICATION

International Journal of Pharmacognosy and Herbal Drug Technology (IJPHDT)

ISSN: 3049-1630, Vol.02, Issue No. 06, 2025 (pp.19-31)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE https://www.aktpublication.com/index.php/ijphdt

Innovative Extraction and Isolation Techniques: A Review

V. Geetha[1*]

Lecturer in Chemistry, GDC, RCPM, Andhra Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author E-mail: velalamgeetha@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Extraction and isolation techniques play a crucial role in obtaining bioactive compounds from natural sources. Traditional methods such as maceration and Soxhlet extraction, though effective, are often time-consuming and inefficient. Recent advancements have led to the development of innovative techniques such as Supercritical Fluid Extraction (SFE), Microwave-Assisted Extraction (MAE), Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction (UAE), and Pressurized Liquid Extraction (PLE), which improve yield, reduce solvent usage, and enhance selectivity. This review critically examines these modern techniques, comparing their efficiency, applications, and limitations. Additionally, the integration of green chemistry principles in extraction methods is discussed, emphasizing sustainability and environmental impact. The review concludes with future perspectives on emerging technologies in extraction and isolation, including automation and green solvents

Key Words:

Extraction techniques, Isolation methods, Supercritical Fluid Extraction, Microwave-Assisted Extraction, Green Chemistry, Sustainable Extraction, Advanced Separation Techniques

Article History:

Received Feb 23, 2025

Accepted May 29, 2025

Published June 30, 2025

DOI- https://doi.org/10.64063/3049-1630.vol.2.issue6.3

1. Introduction

Extraction and isolation of bioactive compounds are fundamental processes in pharmaceuticals, food, and cosmetic industries. The ability to efficiently obtain high-purity compounds is critical for ensuring product quality, safety, and effectiveness. Extraction involves separating target compounds from plant, microbial, or animal sources, while isolation refines these extracts to obtain pure compounds suitable for further application. Traditional extraction techniques, such as maceration, Soxhlet extraction, and hydro distillation, have been widely used for decades. However, these methods often suffer from drawbacks such as excessive solvent consumption, prolonged extraction times, and thermal degradation of sensitive compounds. The need for more efficient and sustainable techniques has led to significant advancements in extraction technology, incorporating principles of green chemistry and process optimization. In response to these challenges, researchers have developed innovative extraction techniques, including Supercritical Fluid Extraction (SFE), Microwave-Assisted Extraction (MAE), Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction (UAE), and Pressurized Liquid Extraction (PLE). These techniques enhance mass transfer, improve selectivity, and reduce environmental impact while maintaining high extraction efficiency. Moreover, modern isolation techniques such as chromatographic and membrane-based separations complement these extraction methods by refining bioactive compounds with superior purity and yield. The evolution of extraction and isolation technologies is closely linked to advances in materials science, automation, and analytical chemistry. Emerging trends focus on the use of deep eutectic solvents, ionic liquids, and supercritical fluids to replace conventional organic solvents, further aligning extraction processes with environmental sustainability goals. Additionally, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning in extraction optimization holds great potential for industrial-scale applications. This review provides a comprehensive analysis of both traditional and modern extraction and isolation techniques, comparing their efficiencies, applications, and sustainability aspects. It highlights recent developments and explores future prospects in the field, particularly emphasizing automation, green chemistry, and hybrid extraction methods. By understanding these advancements, researchers and industries can optimize extraction processes to meet the increasing demand for bioactive compounds in pharmaceuticals, nutraceuticals, cosmetics, and food industries[1].

2. Traditional Extraction Techniques

Traditional extraction methods have long been the foundation of bioactive compound recovery from plant and natural sources. These techniques include maceration, Soxhlet extraction, and hydro distillation, each with its unique advantages and limitations. Despite their widespread use, traditional methods are often associated with inefficiencies, such as long extraction times, high solvent consumption, and thermal degradation of heat-sensitive compounds. Nevertheless, they remain relevant due to their simplicity, cost-effectiveness, and ability to handle large sample volumes[2].

1. Maceration

Maceration is one of the simplest and oldest extraction techniques. It involves soaking plant materials in a solvent at room temperature for an extended period to allow diffusion of bioactive compounds into the liquid phase. This method is commonly used in the pharmaceutical and herbal industries for extracting alkaloids, flavonoids, and essential oils.Advantages: Simple and easy to perform, Low energy requirement, Suitable for thermolabile compounds. Disadvantages: Long extraction time (days to weeks), High solvent consumption, Low extraction efficiency

2. Soxhlet Extraction

Soxhlet extraction is a more efficient method that involves continuous solvent reflux to extract bioactive compounds from solid materials. The sample is placed in a thimble, and the solvent repeatedly washes over it, allowing for repeated extraction cycles without requiring solvent replacement.Advantages: Higher extraction efficiency than maceration, Suitable for both polar and non-polar compounds, Continuous extraction reduces manual intervention. Disadvantages: High solvent consumption, Requires heating, leading to possible degradation of thermolabile compounds, Long extraction times[3]

3 Hydro distillation

Hydro distillation is primarily used for extracting essential oils from plant materials. The method involves boiling plant materials in water or exposing them to steam, which carries volatile compounds into a condensation chamber, where they are collected as an oil-water mixture.Advantages: Ideal for extracting volatile and aromatic compounds, commonly used in the perfume and food industries. Disadvantages: High energy consumption due to boiling, Degradation of heat-sensitive compounds. Requires careful control of temperature and pressure.

4. Percolation

Percolation is similar to maceration but involves continuous solvent flow through a packed plant material bed. This dynamic extraction process increases mass transfer efficiency and is often used for large-scale herbal extraction.Advantages: Faster than maceration, Higher yield due to continuous solvent renewal. Disadvantages: Requires specialized equipment, May not be suitable for all compound types[4].

5. Cold Press Extraction

Cold press extraction is a mechanical method primarily used for extracting oils from citrus peels and seeds. The process involves mechanical pressing without the use of heat or solvents, preserving the integrity of heat-sensitive compounds. Advantages: No solvent required, making it eco-friendly. Retains original composition of bioactive compounds. Disadvantages: Limited to oil-based extractions. Lower yield compared to solvent-based techniques[5]

6. Decoction

Decoction involves boiling plant materials in water for an extended period, commonly used in traditional medicine to extract water-soluble bioactive compounds. Advantages: Effective for extracting alkaloids, tannins, and glycosides. Simple and widely used in traditional medicine. Disadvantages: High energy requirement due to prolonged boiling. Potential degradation of heat-sensitive compounds[6]

Comparison of Traditional Extraction Techniques

Method	Solvent Usage	Temperature	Time Efficiency	Yield	Application
Maceration	High	Low	Low	Moderate	Herbal extracts, alkaloids
Soxhlet	Very High	High	Low	Moderate	Lipids, phytochemicals
Hydro distillation	Moderate	High	Low	Moderate	Essential oils

Percolation	Moderate	Low	Moderate	High	Herbal medicines
Cold Press	None	Low	High	Low	Citrus oils, seed oils
Decoction	None	High	Low	Moderate	Traditional medicine

Traditional methods, although still valuable, are being increasingly replaced or supplemented with innovative extraction techniques that offer greater efficiency, sustainability, and cost-effectiveness. Maceration, Soxhlet extraction, and hydrodistillation are widely used methods, but they have limitations in terms of efficiency, time consumption, and environmental impact. These shortcomings have led to the adoption of modern techniques that are more sustainable and efficient[7].

3. Innovative Extraction Techniques

Innovative techniques offer significant advantages over traditional methods by improving extraction efficiency, reducing environmental impact, and preserving bioactive compounds. These advancements align with green chemistry principles and hold great promise for the future of extraction and isolation technologies[8].

1. Supercritical Fluid Extraction (SFE)

Supercritical Fluid Extraction (SFE) utilizes supercritical fluids, typically carbon dioxide (CO_2), to extract bioactive compounds efficiently. In its supercritical state, CO_2 exhibits both gas-like diffusivity and liquid-like solvation properties, making it an excellent extraction solvent. Principle: SFE operates by adjusting temperature and pressure to bring CO_2 into a supercritical state, where it has enhanced solvating properties. The supercritical fluid diffuses through the sample matrix, dissolving target compounds, which are then separated upon depressurization.Operational Parameters: Pressure: Typically ranges between 100 and 400 bar, affecting solubility and selectivity. Temperature: Varies from 30°C to 80°C, preventing thermal degradation of bio actives. Modifiers: Small amounts of co-solvents like ethanol or methanol can be added to enhance extraction selectivity.Advantages: Highly selective extraction. No residual solvents in extracts. Low-temperature process, preserving heat-sensitive compounds. Faster extraction times compared to conventional methods. Environmentally friendly, as CO_2 is non-toxic and recyclable. Disadvantages: High initial investment cost. Requires specialized equipment and technical expertise. Limited extraction of highly polar compounds without co-solvents. ApplicationsSFE is widely used for extracting essential oils, bioactive compounds, and pharmaceuticals. It is particularly valuable in industries such as: Food industry: Extraction of flavours, caffeine from coffee, and essential oils. Pharmaceuticals: Isolation of active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs)[9]. Cosmetics: Extraction of bioactive compounds for skincare formulations

Recent Advancements

Recent research in SFE focuses on optimizing parameters to improve extraction efficiency. Innovations include: Nanoparticle-assisted SFE: Enhancing mass transfer using nanostructured materials. Hybrid techniques: Combining SFE with ultrasound or microwave assistance for improved yield green solvents integration: Replacing conventional co-solvents with ionic liquids or deep eutectic solvents[10]

2. Microwave-Assisted Extraction (MAE)

Microwave-Assisted Extraction (MAE) uses microwave energy to heat the solvent and plant matrix, accelerating the extraction of target compounds. The rapid heating enhances mass transfer and improves extraction efficiency.Advantages: Reduced extraction time and solvent consumption. Higher yield and purity of bioactive compounds. Disadvantages: Limited penetration depth of microwaves. Potential degradation of heat-sensitive compounds[11]

3. Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction (UAE)

Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction (UAE) applies ultrasonic waves to create cavitation, enhancing cell wall disruption and improving solvent penetration into the sample.Advantages: Fast and efficient extraction. Works with various solvents.Disadvantages: Requires optimization of frequency and intensity. Not suitable for large-scale applications[12]

4 Pressurised Liquid Extraction (PLE)

Pressurised Liquid Extraction (PLE), also known as Accelerated Solvent Extraction (ASE), uses high temperatures and pressures to improve extraction efficiency by increasing the solubility of target compounds in the solvent. Advantages: High efficiency and reproducibility. Reduces solvent use.Disadvantages: High operational costs. Requires controlled temperature and pressure settings

5 Enzyme-Assisted Extraction (EAE)

Enzyme-assisted extraction (EAE) utilises enzymes to break down plant cell walls, thereby facilitating the release of bioactive compounds. Advantages: Mild conditions prevent degradation. Enhances extraction yield.Disadvantages: High cost of enzymes. Longer processing time

6 Ionic Liquid-Based Extraction

Ionic Liquid-Based Extraction replaces conventional organic solvents with ionic liquids, which offer superior solvating abilities and environmental benefits.Advantages: Non-volatile and recyclable. High selectivity and efficiency. Disadvantages: High cost, Potential toxicity concerns[13]

Comparison of Innovative Extraction Techniques

Method	Solvent Usage	Time Efficiency	Selectivity	Cost	Application
SFE	Low	High	High	High	Pharmaceuticals, nutraceuticals
MAE	Moderate	Very High	Moderate	Medium	Polyphenols, flavonoids

UAE	Moderate	High	Moderate	Low	Essential oils, bio actives
PLE	Low	High	High	High	Lipids, phytochemicals
EAE	Low	Moderate	High	High	Polysaccharides, proteins
Ionic Liquid	Low	High	Very High	High	Alkaloids, bio actives

4. Isolation Techniques

Once the extraction process is complete, bioactive compounds must be purified and isolated from the complex mixture of plant, microbial, or animal-derived extracts. Isolation techniques are essential for obtaining high-purity compounds suitable for pharmaceutical, nutraceutical, and cosmetic applications. Various modern isolation methods have been developed to improve efficiency, selectivity, and environmental sustainability[14].

1. Chromatographic Techniques

Chromatography remains one of the most widely used techniques for isolating bioactive compounds due to its high resolution and selectivity.

2. High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

HPLC is commonly used for separating and purifying compounds based on their interactions with the stationary and mobile phases. Advantages: High resolution and efficiency. Suitable for thermolabile compounds. Can be coupled with mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS) for compound identification. Disadvantages: Requires expensive solvents and columns[15]. Time-consuming method

3. Gas Chromatography (GC)

GC is mainly used for volatile and semi-volatile compounds, employing an inert carrier gas such as helium or nitrogen. Advantages: High sensitivity and resolution. Suitable for essential oils and lipophilic compounds. Disadvantages: Not suitable for thermolabile compounds. Requires derivatisation for polar compounds

4. Thin-Layer Chromatography (TLC)

TLC is a simple and cost-effective technique for preliminary compound separation and identification. Advantages: Low-cost and easy to perform. Suitable for quick qualitative analysis. Disadvantages: Limited resolution compared to HPLC. Not suitable for large-scale isolation

5. Membrane-Based Isolation Techniques

Membrane separation techniques offer eco-friendly and scalable solutions for bioactive compound isolation.

6. Ultrafiltration (UF)

Ultrafiltration uses semi-permeable membranes to separate molecules based on size.Advantages: Low energy consumption. No solvent required. Disadvantages: Limited selectivity for similar-sized compounds. Potential membrane fouling

7. Reverse Osmosis (RO)

RO applies pressure to separate small molecules, which is a process often used in desalination and purification applications. Advantages: High purity levels achieved.Environmentally friendly.Disadvantages: High pressure requirement.Expensive membrane systems

8. Precipitation and Crystallisation

Precipitation and crystallization techniques exploit the differences in solubility to purify bioactive compounds. Advantages: Simple and cost-effective.High-purity compounds obtained. Disadvantages: Requires multiple purification steps. May result in product loss

9. Supercritical Fluid Chromatography (SFC)

SFC combines the benefits of supercritical fluid technology with chromatography for the highly selective isolation of compounds. Advantages: Faster separations compared to HPLC.Reduced solvent consumption.Disadvantages: Requires specialised equipment.Limited to non-polar and moderately polar compounds

10. Electromembrane Extraction (EME)

EME is a novel electro-driven technique for the selective isolation of charged bioactive molecules. Advantages: High selectivity for ionizable compounds.Minimal solvent consumption. Disadvantages: Requires optimization for each compound. Not suitable for neutral compounds

Comparison of Isolation Techniques

Technique	Selectivity	Efficiency	Solvent Usage	Scalability	Application
HPLC	High	High	High	Low	Pharmaceuticals, nutraceuticals
GC	High	High	Moderate	Low	Essential oils, volatile compounds
TLC	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Preliminary analysis
Ultrafiltration	Moderate	High	None	High	Proteins, peptides, polysaccharides
Reverse Osmosis	High	High	None	High	Water purification, small molecules
Precipitation	Moderate	High	Low	Moderate	Alkaloids, flavonoids

SFC	High	Very High	Low	Low	Pharmaceuticals, non-polar compounds
EME	Very High	Moderate	Minimal	Low	Ionic compounds, alkaloids

Modern isolation techniques play a crucial role in enhancing extraction efficiency by refining bioactive compounds for commercial and research applications. The choice of an isolation technique depends on the nature of the target compound, required purity, and industrial feasibility.After extraction, isolation techniques refine bioactive compounds for purity and effectiveness. Key methods include:

5. Chromatographic Techniques

Chromatography remains one of the most widely used techniques for isolating bioactive compounds due to its high resolution and selectivity. Chromatographic separation is based on differences in compound interactions between the stationary and mobile phases, allowing efficient purification of complex mixtures.

1 High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

HPLC is a powerful analytical and preparative technique that separates compounds based on their polarity and interactions with a stationary phase. Reverse-phase HPLC (RP-HPLC) is the most common form, using a non-polar stationary phase and a polar mobile phase.Applications:Pharmaceutical drug purification.Separation of plant-derived alkaloids, flavonoids, and phenolic compounds.Food and beverage quality control.Advantages:High resolution and efficiency.Suitable for thermolabile compounds.Can be coupled with mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS) for compound identification.Disadvantages:Requires expensive solvents and columns.Time-consuming method

2 Gas Chromatography (GC)

GC is widely used for the separation of volatile and semi-volatile compounds. It employs an inert carrier gas, such as helium or nitrogen, to transport analytes through a column coated with a stationary phase.Applications:Essential oil analysis.Detection of pesticide residues in food.Analysis of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) in lipid research.Advantages:High sensitivity and resolution.Suitable for essential oils and lipophilic compounds.Disadvantages:Not suitable for thermolabile compounds.Requires derivatization for polar compounds

3 Thin-Layer Chromatography (TLC)

TLC is a simple and cost-effective chromatographic technique used for qualitative analysis of natural compounds. It employs a thin layer of stationary phase (silica gel or alumina) on a glass or plastic plate, and separation occurs through capillary action.Applications:Rapid screening of plant extracts for bioactive compounds.Purity testing of pharmaceutical formulations.Identification of alkaloids, flavonoids, and steroids.Advantages:Low-cost and easy to perform.Suitable for quick qualitative analysis.Disadvantages:Limited resolution compared to HPLC.Not suitable for large-scale isolation

4 Column Chromatography (CC)

Column Chromatography is a preparative technique that separates compounds based on differential adsorption onto a stationary phase packed in a column. The compounds elute at different rates depending on their interaction with the stationary phase.Applications:Purification of crude extracts in natural product research.Isolation of secondary metabolites from medicinal plants.Separation of pigments and dyes in industrial applications.Advantages:Suitable for large-scale compound isolation.Versatile and adaptable to different compound classes.Disadvantages:Time-consuming process.Requires large amounts of solvents

5 Size-Exclusion Chromatography (SEC)

SEC separates molecules based on their size and is often used for isolating biomolecules such as proteins, polysaccharides, and nucleic acids.Applications:Protein purification in biotechnology.Separation of polymeric compounds.Analysis of high-molecular-weight compounds.Advantages:No solvent interaction, preserving sample integrity.Suitable for high-molecular-weight compounds.Disadvantages:Limited resolution for molecules of similar size.Requires specialized columns

6 Supercritical Fluid Chromatography (SFC)

SFC utilizes supercritical fluids, such as CO_2, as the mobile phase to achieve highly selective compound separation.Applications:Purification of chiral pharmaceuticals.Isolation of bioactive lipids.Separation of fat-soluble vitamins.Advantages:Faster separations compared to HPLC.Reduced solvent consumption.Disadvantages:Requires specialized equipment.Limited to non-polar and moderately polar compounds

Comparison of Isolation Techniques

Technique	Selectivity	Efficiency	Solvent Usage	Scalability	Application
HPLC	High	High	High	Low	Pharmaceuticals, nutraceuticals
GC	High	High	Moderate	Low	Essential oils, volatile compounds
TLC	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Preliminary analysis
Column Chromatography	Moderate	Moderate	High	Moderate	Plant extracts, secondary metabolites
Size-Exclusion Chromatography	High	High	Low	Low	Biomolecules, proteins

Supercritical Fluid Chromatography	High	Very High	Low	Low	Pharmaceuticals, non-polar compounds
Ultrafiltration	Moderate	High	None	High	Proteins, peptides, polysaccharides
Reverse Osmosis	High	High	None	High	Water purification, small molecules
Precipitation	Moderate	High	Low	Moderate	Alkaloids, flavonoids
EME	Very High	Moderate	Minimal	Low	Ionic compounds, alkaloids

Modern isolation techniques play a crucial role in enhancing extraction efficiency by refining bioactive compounds for commercial and research applications. The choice of an isolation technique depends on the nature of the target compound, required purity, and industrial feasibility.

2 Membrane-Based Separation

Membrane filtration techniques such as ultrafiltration and nanofiltration enhance purification while minimizing solvent use.Advantages:High selectivity.Low energy consumption.Scalable for industrial applications.Applications:Purification of peptides and proteins.Pharmaceutical separations

5. Comparison of Innovative Extraction Techniques

Technique	Principle	Advantages	Disadvantages	Applications
Supercritical Fluid Extraction (SFE)	Uses supercritical CO_2 as a solvent to extract bioactive compounds	High selectivity, minimal solvent residue, eco-friendly	Requires high-pressure equipment, limited to non-polar compounds	Pharmaceuticals, nutraceuticals, essential oils
Microwave-Assisted Extraction (MAE)	Uses microwave energy to heat solvents, improving mass transfer	Rapid extraction, energy-efficient, enhances yield	Requires specialized equipment, possible degradation of thermolabile compounds	Polyphenols, alkaloids, essential oils
Ultrasound-Assisted	Uses ultrasonic waves to disrupt	Faster extraction, low	Limited scalability,	Herbal extracts, phenolic

Extraction (UAE)	cell walls and improve solvent penetration	solvent consumption, mild conditions	potential degradation due to cavitation	compounds, flavonoids
Pressurized Liquid Extraction (PLE)	Uses high pressure and temperature to extract compounds with liquid solvent	Reduces solvent usage, faster than conventional methods, high efficiency	Requires high-pressure setup, expensive equipment	Food industry, environmental analysis, herbal medicine
Enzyme-Assisted Extraction (EAE)	Uses specific enzymes to break down plant cell walls and release bioactive compounds	Enhances yield, operates under mild conditions, eco-friendly	High enzyme cost, requires optimization for each sample	Pharmaceutical compounds, polysaccharides, bioactive peptides
Pulsed Electric Field Extraction (PEF)	Uses short electrical pulses to disrupt cell membranes and facilitate extraction	Minimal heat damage, preserves bioactivity, rapid process	Limited to certain applications, requires specialized setup	Plant-based antioxidants, food processing, lipid extraction
Deep Eutectic Solvent Extraction (DES)	Uses environmentally friendly eutectic solvents for extraction	Biodegradable, non-toxic, highly tunable solvents	Limited research, potential solvent recovery issues	Pharmaceuticals, food bioactives, alkaloids

Innovative extraction techniques offer improved efficiency, selectivity, and sustainability compared to traditional methods. However, the choice of technique depends on the type of bioactive compound, scalability, cost considerations, and environmental impact.

Future Perspectives and Challenges

Future research should focus on integrating multiple techniques to optimize efficiency. Challenges such as high initial costs, scalability, and regulatory approvals need to be addressed to enhance industrial adoption. Emerging areas of research include:Use of deep eutectic solvents (DES) and ionic liquids as green solvents.Automation and AI-driven optimization of extraction processes.Hybrid extraction techniques combining multiple methods for improved efficiency.Implementation of sustainable and biodegradable materials in extraction technologies.The role of green solvents and automation in extraction processes will play a significant role in shaping future innovations, making them more sustainable and cost-effective.

Conclusion

Innovative extraction and isolation techniques significantly improve the efficiency and sustainability of compound recovery from natural sources. The adoption of green chemistry principles ensures environmental safety while enhancing yield and purity. The combination of emerging technologies such as AI, automation, and eco-friendly solvents holds promise for the future of extraction science. Future developments in this field should focus on automation, sustainability, and scalability to meet industrial demands and reduce the environmental footprint of extraction processes.

6. References

1. Chemat, F., Abert-Vian, M., & Zill-e-Huma. (2017). Green Extraction of Natural Products: Theory and Practice. Wiley-VCH.
2. de Castro, M. D. L., & Priego-Capote, F. (2010). Soxhlet extraction: Past and present panacea. Journal of Chromatography A, 1217(16), 2383-2389.
3. Reverchon, E., & De Marco, I. (2006). Supercritical fluid extraction and fractionation of natural matter. The Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 38(2), 146-166.
4. Khaw, K. Y., Parat, M. O., Shaw, P. N., & Falconer, J. R. (2017). Solvent supercritical fluid technologies to extract bioactive compounds from natural sources: A review. Molecules, 22(7), 1186.
5. Kaufmann, B., & Christen, P. (2002). Recent extraction techniques for natural products: Microwave-assisted extraction and pressurised solvent extraction. Phytochemical Analysis, 13(2), 105-113.
6. Luque de Castro, M. D., & Garcia-Ayuso, L. E. (1998). Soxhlet extraction of solid materials: An outdated technique with a promising innovative future. Analytica Chimica Acta, 369(1-2), 1-10.
7. Kothari, V., & Tandon, S. (2018). A Comparative Study of Different Extraction Techniques for the Isolation of Bioactive Compounds. Natural Product Research, 32(4), 383-395.
8. Mandal, V., Mohan, Y., & Hemalatha, S. (2007). Microwave assisted extraction—An innovative and promising extraction tool for medicinal plant research. Pharmacognosy Reviews, 1(1), 7-18.
9. Rostagno, M. A., Palma, M., & Barroso, C. G. (2007). Ultrasound-assisted extraction of soy isoflavones. Journal of Chromatography A, 1163(1-2), 85-92.
10. Sarker, S. D., Latif, Z., & Gray, A. I. (2006). Natural Products Isolation. Methods in Biotechnology, 20(2), 1-25.
11. Poole, C. F. (2003). Thin-layer chromatography: Challenges and opportunities. Journal of Chromatography A, 1000(1-2), 963-984.
12. Camel, V. (2001). Recent extraction techniques for solid matrices—Supercritical fluid extraction, pressurized liquid extraction and microwave-assisted extraction: Their potential and pitfalls. Analyst, 126(7), 1182-1193.

13. Huie, C. W. (2002). A review of modern sample-preparation techniques for the extraction and analysis of medicinal plants. Analytica Chimica Acta, 471(1), 3-29.

14. Handa, S. S., Khanuja, S. P. S., Longo, G., & Rakesh, D. D. (2008). Extraction Technologies for Medicinal and Aromatic Plants. United Nations Industrial Development Organization.

15. Sasidharan, S., Chen, Y., Saravanan, D., Sundram, K. M., & Latha, L. Y. (2011). Extraction, isolation, and characterization of bioactive compounds from plants' extracts. African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicines, 8(1), 1-10.